

EXHIBIT F

LOCKRIDGE
GRINDAL
NAUEN
P.L.L.P.

Attorneys at Law



THE FIRM

Founded in 1978, Lockridge Grindal Nauen P.L.L.P. has extensive experience in consumer, antitrust, securities, data privacy, environmental, employment, health care, commercial, intellectual property and telecommunications law. Our clients include pension funds, unions, agribusinesses, business enterprises, banks, local governments, trade and industry associations, real estate developers, telecommunications providers, health care professionals, casualty insurers, publishers and authors, and a major computer manufacturer and retailer.

Lockridge Grindal Nauen attorneys are assisted by more than 20 paralegals and government relations specialists, and an extensive support staff. The firm has offices in Minneapolis, Minnesota and Washington, D.C.

EXPERIENCE

Lockridge Grindal Nauen is one of the preeminent class action law firms in the country, has vast experience representing banks, financial institutions, shareholders, and other institutional investors in complex litigation, and has extensive experience litigating cases in Minnesota and across the country.

For over 40 years the firm has prosecuted antitrust cases on behalf of large and small businesses injured by price-fixing and other violations of the antitrust laws. In the last ten years alone, LGN and its co-counsel have recovered more than \$2 billion for their clients and class members in antitrust cases involving national and global price-fixing schemes. Those cases include the following:

Freight Forwarders Antitrust Litigation
(Precision Associates, Inc., et al. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd., et. al.)

LGN is Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel for the proposed class of direct purchasers who allege that global freight forwarders conspired to fix, inflate and maintain prices and surcharges for U.S. Freight Forwarding Services. Providers of such freight forwarding services are sometimes referred to as "third party logistics providers." The conspiracy has resulted in criminal charges, guilty pleas, and fines against defendants in the United States, as well as enforcement actions and fines by other competition authorities around the world. To date LGN and our co-counsel have achieved settlements of over \$300 million for the class from twenty-nine defendant groups. The case is pending in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York before the Honorable John Gleeson.

Potash Antitrust Litigation (II) – Minn-Chem, Inc. v. Agrium Inc.

LGN was Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel for a class of direct purchasers of potash, a key ingredient in agricultural fertilizer. Plaintiffs alleged that major global potash producers conspired to restrict output and otherwise restrain trade in the global potash market, with the intended result of imposing significant price increases on U.S. potash purchasers. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, sitting *en banc*, issued a significant decision in this case clarifying the construction and application of the Foreign Trade Antitrust Improvements Act and its application to global commerce and foreign cartels. LGN and our co-counsel obtained settlements of \$90 million for the direct purchaser class.

Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation (II)

LGN served as Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel in this litigation alleging that major U.S. manufacturers conspired to raise prices and impose energy surcharges for Construction Flat Glass in violation of the federal antitrust laws and achieved \$22 million in settlements for the class.

Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litigation

LGN was Co-Lead Counsel for the class of direct purchaser plaintiffs who allege a price-fixing conspiracy among lead manufacturers of adhesive labelstock and film-based self-adhesive labelstock in the United States recovering \$45 million for the class. This litigation was pending in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania before the Honorable Thomas Vanaskie.

Urethane Antitrust Litigation

LGN was Co-Lead Counsel for the class of direct purchaser plaintiffs in the polyester polyol actions of this consolidated antitrust litigation. This litigation was pending in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas before the Honorable John Lungstrum. LGN and our co-counsel obtained \$33 million in settlements for the benefit of the plaintiff class of all direct purchasers of aliphatic polyester polyols, aliphatic polyester polymers and prepolymers, and aliphatic polyester-based polyurethane systems (“polyester polyol products”).

Monosodium Glutamate Antitrust Litigation

LGN was Co-Lead Counsel for a class of direct purchasers of Monosodium Glutamate (MSG) and nucleotides (both food flavor enhancers). We recovered over \$123 million for the benefit of the class from suppliers in the U.S., Japan, and Korea. This action was litigated in the United District Court for the District of Minnesota before then-Chief Judge Paul Magnuson.

Judge Magnuson commended LGN and our co-counsel for our prosecution of this case, and said:

Plaintiffs’ counsel conducted themselves in an exemplary fashion throughout the litigation, and are to be commended for their fine work in this litigation.

Linerboard Antitrust Litigation

LGN partner Joseph Bruckner was one of a five-person Class Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee in this case. This class action resulted in the recovery of more than \$202 million for the benefit of a class of businesses -- including many Fortune 500 companies -- which purchased corrugated boxes and sheets. Judge Jan DuBois of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania said:

The result achieved is the clearest reflection of [class plaintiffs' counsel's] skill and expertise. . . . The Court has repeatedly stated that the lawyering in the case at every stage was superb, and does so again.

These cases are representative samples of LGN's leadership and success in this area. A complete list of cases is available upon request.

ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHY

Heidi M. Siltan

Heidi Siltan is a partner in the firm's [antitrust](#) department and practices primarily in complex multidistrict litigation. She and the firm are regularly appointed lead counsel, co-lead counsel or as an executive committee member for the plaintiff class. In her work on complex cases, Ms. Siltan has extensive experience in working with Class Representatives in their data collection and review and in the preparation for and defense of Class Representative depositions. Ms. Siltan also regularly conducts witness interviews and takes depositions both in the United States and abroad.

For the past several years, Ms. Siltan has been named one of Minnesota's top 50 Women Lawyers, and top 100 Lawyers, by a peer-review list of leading Minnesota Lawyers. She currently serves as the Treasurer of The Committee to Support the Antitrust Laws (COSAL) and as a member of the American Antitrust Institute (AAI) Private Enforcement Awards Judging Committee and as an advisory board member for the American Bar Association (ABA) Global Private Litigation Committee. Ms. Siltan is a past chair and emeritus of the Minnesota

State Bar Association Antitrust Law Section. In addition to her litigation practice, Ms. Sifton is the current Hiring Partner and a member of the firm's Diversity and Inclusion Committee. Ms. Sifton believes in the value of mentorship and works to mentor other attorneys both inside and outside her firm.

Ms. Sifton has worked on many complex and class action antitrust and insurance matters. The list below includes cases in which the firm has had a leading or other active role and other cases on which Ms. Sifton is working or has worked, including:

- *In re Packaged Seafood Products Antitrust Litig.*, No. 15-MD-2670, (S.D. Ca)
- *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litig.*, 2:16-md-02724-CMR (E.D. Pa);
- *In re Aggrenox Antitrust Litig.*, No. 3:14-md-02516-SRU (D. Conn.);
- *In re Automotive Refinishing Paint Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1426 (E.D. Pa.);
- *Benacquisto, et al. v. American Express Financial Corporation et al.*, Civil Action No. 96-18477 ((Henn. Cty. Dist. Ct.); and Case No. 00-1980 (D. Minn.) (insurance class action);
- *In re Bulk Vitamins Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1285 (D.D.C.);
- *In re Carbon Fiber Antitrust Litig. (Thomas & Thomas Rodmakers Inc. et al. v. Newport Adhesives & Composites, Inc. et al.)*, Case No. CV-99-07796 FMC (C.D. Cal.);
- *In re Celebrex (Celecoxib) Antitrust Litig.*, Lead Case No. 2:14-cv-00395 (E.D. Va.);
- *In re Chocolate Confectionary Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1935 (M.D. Pa.);
- *In re Commercial Tissue Products Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1189 (N.D. Fla.);
- *In re Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM) Antitrust*

Litig., Case No. M:02-cv-1486 (N.D. Cal.);

- *El Jay Poultry v. Packaging Corporation of America, et al.*, Case No. 1:10-cv-5896 (N.D. Ill.);
- *In re Fasteners Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1912, (E.D. Pa.);
- *In re Flat Glass (I) Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1200 (W.D. Pa.);
- *In re Flat Glass (II) Antitrust Litig.*, Civil No. 2:08-mc-180 (W.D. Pa.) – Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel;
- *In re Food Service Equipment Hardware Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 1:10-cv-1849 (N.D. Ga.);
- *In re Foundry Resins Antitrust Litig.*, Case No. 2:04-md-1638 (S.D. Ohio);
- *Funeral Consumers Alliance, Inc., et al. v. Service Corporation International, et al.*, No. H-05-3394 (S.D. Tex.);
- *In re Graphite Electrodes Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1244 (E.D. Pa.);
- *In re Hydrogen Peroxide Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1682 (E.D. Pa.) – Committee Chair;
- *Inquivosa et al. v. Ajinomoto Co., et al.*, Civil No. 03-2997 PAM (D. Minn.) (related to *In re Monosodium Glutamate Antitrust Litig.*) – Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel;
- *In re Keurig Green Mountain Single-Serve Coffee Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2542 (S.D. N.Y.);
- *Kleen Products LLC, et al. v. Packaging Corporation of America, et al.*, Case No. 1:10-cv-5711 (N.D. Ill.);
- *In re Lidoderm Antitrust Litig.*, MDL Docket No. C-14-md-02521 WHO (N.D. Cal.);
- *In re Linerboard Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1261 (E.D. Pa.);
- *In re Methionine Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1311 (N.D. Cal.);
- *In re Microcrystalline Cellulose Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1402 (E.D. Pa.);
- *In re Monosodium Glutamate Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1328 (D. Minn.) - Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel;
- *Myers v. The Guardian Life Insurance Company of America, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 3:98cv68-D-B (N.D. Miss.) (insurance class action);

- *In re National Collegiate Athletic Association Athletic Grant-In-Aid Cap Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2541 (N.D. Cal.);
- *In re New England Mutual Life Insurance Company Sales Practices Litig.*, MDL No. 1105 (D. Mass.) (insurance class action);
- *In re Niaspan Antitrust Litig.*, Master Case No. 2:13-md-2460 (E.D. Pa.);
- *In re Packaged Ice Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1952, (E.D. Mich.);
- *In re Parcel Tanker Shipping Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1568 3:03-md-01568 (AVC) (D. Conn.);
- *In re Pillar Point Partners Antitrust and Patent Litig.*, MDL No. 1202 (D. Ariz.) (Laser Eye Surgery – Antitrust);
- *Pizza Pie & Wing Co. LLC v. Nat’l Football League, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 2:15-cv-07305 (C.D. Cal.);
- *In re Platinum and Palladium Antitrust Litig.*, Civil No. 1:14-cv-09391-GHW (S.D. N.Y.);
- *In re Polypropylene Carpet Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1075 (N.D. Ga.);
- *In re Potash Antitrust Litig. (II)*, Civil No. 1:08-md-06910 (N.D. Ill.) – Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel;
- *Precision Associates, Inc. v. Panalpina World Transport (Holding) Ltd., et al.*, No. 1:08-cv-42-JG-VVP (E.D.N.Y.) – Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel;
- *In re Pressure Sensitive Labelstock Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1556 (M.D. Pa.) – Plaintiffs’ Co-Lead Counsel;
- *In re Publication Paper Antitrust Litig.*, Civil No. 3:04-md-1631-SRU (D. Conn.);
- *In re Refrigerant Compressors Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 2042;
- *In re Sodium Gluconate Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1226 (N.D. Cal.);
- *In re Sorbates Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*, Master File No. C-98-4886 MCC (N.D. Cal.);
- *In re: Supervalu, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litig.*, MDL No. 2586 (D. Minn.); and
- *In re Urethane Antitrust Litig.*, MDL No. 1616 (D. Kan.) -

Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel.

- *Wallace, et al. v. Kraft Foods Group, Inc. and Mondelez Global LLC* (Wheat Commodity Futures), No. 1:15-cv-02937 (N.D. Ill.).

As an active volunteer in her community, Ms. Silton serves on the board of Arete Academy and as a Reader/Writer coach for 8th graders in conjunction with Meet Me in the Middle Nonprofit. Heidi has also co-chaired galas to support the Sanneh Foundation, the American Diabetes Association and Second Harvest.

For more details, please visit the website at www.locklaw.com.